

<b>Item No.</b> 9.	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 7 February 2015	<b>Meeting Name:</b> Peckham & Nunhead Community Council
<b>Report title:</b>		Cycle Permeability	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		Peckham	
<b>From:</b>		Head of Public Realm	

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That the community council support the recommendation to be made to the cabinet member for Regeneration, Planning, and Transport to implement the cycle permeability proposals outlined in table 1 and appendix A.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. In accordance with Part 3H paragraph 19 of the Southwark Constitution community councils are to be consulted on the detail of strategic parking / traffic / safety schemes.
3. The community council is now being given opportunity to make final representation to the cabinet member for regeneration, planning, and transport as part of the consultation process.
4. The scheme is part of the councils filtered permeability programme funded by Transport for London, through the Local Implementation Programme for 2014-15.
5. The scheme is identified as one which will help achieve the following targets as set out in the Southwark transport plan :
  - increasing proportion of those cycling from 2.9% to 5.5% by 2027
  - Reduce traffic levels by 6% from 2010 to 2016.
  - Increase the walking mode share in Southwark to a third (33%) by 2017

## KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

6. The locations identified for cycle permeability improvements measures have low traffic volumes due to existing closures. The relatively quiet nature of the road attracts cyclists. The proposed measures aim to improve safety and comfort for cyclists using the road. In all cases existing emergency access will be maintained.

Location	How existing closure affect cyclists adversely	Proposed changes
----------	------------------------------------------------	------------------

Chandler Way , near Burcher Gale Grove	Emergency gate does not allow access for cyclists	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modify existing gate to allow cycle gap</li> <li>• Introduce double yellow lines at junction of Burcher Gale Grove with Chandler Way to improve safe access</li> </ul>
Chandler Way, near Commercial Way junction	Bollard spacing impedes cycle access.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce number of bollards</li> <li>• Footway buildout</li> </ul>
Naylor Road	Emergency gate does not allow access for cyclists.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modify existing gate to allow cycle gap</li> </ul>
Kelly Avenue / Peckham Road junction	Bollard spacing impedes cycle access.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re-position bollard.</li> <li>• Introduce double yellow lines to improve safe access.</li> </ul>

Table 1

- Emergency services (Fire, ambulance and the Police) have been consulted on the proposed changes but no response received. Southwark cyclists have expressed support for the proposals. No consultation with local residents has taken place given the minor nature of the changes.
- Statutory consultation is scheduled to commence in February 2015

### **Recommendations to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Planning, and Transports**

- The Cabinet Member is recommended to:
  - Note representation from the community council
  - Approve the cycle permeability proposals to be taken forward for implementation, subject to outcome of statutory consultation. If objections are received during the statutory period a further report will be presented to the Cabinet member for a consideration and determination of those objections.

### **Policy Implications**

- The recommendations contained within this report are consistent with the policies of the Transport Plan 2011, particularly:
  - Policy 1.1 – pursue overall traffic reduction
  - Policy 2.3 – promote and encourage sustainable travel choices in the borough
  - Policy 4.2 – create places that people can enjoy
  - Policy 5.1 – improve safety on our roads and to help make all modes of Transport safer

### **Community impact statement**

11. The implementation of any transport project creates a range of community impacts. All transport schemes aim to improve the safety and security of vulnerable groups and support economic development by improving the overall transport system and access to it.
12. This scheme was identified as one which would help to deliver Southwark's aim of increasing walking and cycling levels in the borough by improving safe access to local amenities/ shops without any noticeable adverse impact on the vulnerable road users
13. This scheme is intended to encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport.

### **Resource implications**

14. The project is wholly funded by Transport for London Local Implementation Programme for 2014/15 filtered permeability .The project is within the scope of permitted uses of the funding. The total allocated budget is £97,000 for 2014/15. All funding sources have been confirm and approved by Cabinet.
15. Works will be implemented by the Council's highways term contractor, Conway Aecom, and are expected to be carried out in March 2015.

### **Consultation**

16. Ward members were consulted prior to commencement of the public consultation.
17. Emergency services have been consulted on proposal, although no response received.
18. Southwark Cyclists are in support of the proposals
19. The report provides an opportunity for the final comment to be made by the community council prior to a non-key decisions scheduled to be made by the Cabinet member for Regeneration, Planning, and Transport in February 2015.
20. If approved for implementation proposal will be subject to statutory consultation, required in the making of any permanent Traffic Management Orders

### **APPENDICES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>
Appendix A	Cycle Permeability proposals

### **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

<b>Background Papers</b>	<b>Held At</b>	<b>Contact</b>
Transport Plan 2011	Council website also Southwark Council 160 Tooley Street London SE1 2QH	Clement Agyei –Frempong Tel: 0207 525 2305

## AUDIT TRAIL

<b>Lead Officer</b>	Matthew Hill, Public Realm Programme Manager	
<b>Report Author</b>	Clement Agyei-Frempong, Senior Engineer	
<b>Version</b>	final	
<b>Dated</b>	27 January 2015	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments Sought</b>	<b>Comments included</b>
Director of Legal Services	No	No
Strategic Director of Finance and Corporate Services	No	No
<b>Date final report sent to Constitutional Team</b>		30 January 2015